

Holonomy groups of pseudo-quaternionic-Kählerian manifolds of non-zero scalar curvature

Natalia I. Bezvitnaya

Dedicated to Dmitri Vladimirovich Alekseevsky at the occasion of his 70th birthday

Abstract The holonomy group G of a pseudo-quaternionic-Kählerian manifold of signature $(4r, 4s)$ with non-zero scalar curvature is contained in $\mathrm{Sp}(1) \cdot \mathrm{Sp}(r, s)$ and it contains $\mathrm{Sp}(1)$. It is proved that either G is irreducible, or $s = r$ and G preserves an isotropic subspace of dimension $4r$, in the last case, there are only two possibilities for the connected component of the identity of such G . This gives the classification of possible connected holonomy groups of pseudo-quaternionic-Kählerian manifolds of non-zero scalar curvature.

Keywords Pseudo-quaternionic-Kählerian manifold · non-zero scalar curvature · holonomy group · holonomy algebra · curvature tensor · symmetric space

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000) 53C29 · 53C26

1 Introduction and the results

Quaternionic-Kählerian geometry is of increasing interest both in mathematics and mathematical physics, see e.g. [1, 9, 10, 12]. A pseudo-quaternionic-Kählerian manifold is a pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) of signature $(4r, 4s)$, $r + s > 1$ together with a parallel quaternionic structure $Q \subset \mathfrak{so}(TM)$, i.e. three-dimensional linear Lie algebra Q with a basis I_1, I_2, I_3 which satisfies the relations $I_1^2 = I_2^2 = I_3^2 = -\mathrm{id}$, $I_3 = I_1 I_2 = -I_2 I_1$. The holonomy group G of such manifold is contained in $\mathrm{Sp}(1) \cdot \mathrm{Sp}(r, s) = \mathrm{Sp}(1) \times \mathrm{Sp}(r, s) / \mathbb{Z}_2$. Conversely, any pseudo-Riemannian manifold with such holonomy group is pseudo-quaternionic-Kählerian. Denote by G^0 the restricted holonomy group of (M, g) , i.e. the connected component of identity of G .

The classification of connected holonomy groups of Riemannian manifolds is well known and it has a lot of applications both in geometry and physics, see e.g. [2, 3, 5, 8]. The corresponding problem for pseudo-Riemannian manifolds of arbitrary signature is

N.I. Bezvitnaya

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Faculty of Science, Masaryk University in Brno, Kotlářská 2, 602 00 Brno, Czech Republic.

E-mail: bezvitnaya@math.muni.cz

solved only in some partial cases, see the recent reviews [6, 7]. The difficulty appears if the holonomy group preserves a degenerate subspace of the tangent space. Here we show that the holonomy group $G \subset \mathrm{Sp}(1) \cdot \mathrm{Sp}(r, s)$ of a pseudo-quaternionic-Kählerian manifold with non-zero scalar curvature is irreducible if $s \neq r$. If $s = r$, then G may preserve a degenerate subspace of the tangent space, in this case there are only two possibilities for G .

In [1] it is proved that the curvature tensor R of a pseudo-quaternionic-Kählerian manifold of signature $(4r, 4s)$ can be written as

$$R(X, Y) = \nu R_0 + \mathcal{W}, \quad (1)$$

where $\nu = \frac{\mathrm{scal}}{4m(m+2)}$ ($m = 4r + 4s$) is the reduced scalar curvature,

$$R_0(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 g(X, I_\alpha Y) I_\alpha + \frac{1}{4} \left(X \wedge Y + \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 I_\alpha X \wedge I_\alpha Y \right), \quad (2)$$

$X, Y \in TM$, is the curvature tensor of the quaternionic projective space $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{H}^{r,s}$, and $\mathcal{W}$ is an algebraic curvature tensor with zero Ricci tensor. It is proved that $\mathrm{scal} \neq 0$ if and only if the holonomy group G contains $\mathrm{Sp}(1)$. In [1] it is proved also that any pseudo-quaternionic-Kählerian manifold with non-zero scalar curvature is locally indecomposable, i.e. it is not locally a product of two pseudo-Riemannian manifolds of positive dimension, or, equivalently, G^0 does not preserve any proper *non-degenerate* vector subspace of the tangent space.

The tangent space to the manifold (M, g) can be identified with the pseudo-Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{4r, 4s}$ endowed with the pseudo-Euclidean metric η and an η -orthogonal quaternionic structure I_1, I_2, I_3 , or with the pseudo-quaternionic-Hermitian space $\mathbb{H}^{r,s}$ endowed with a pseudo-quaternionic-Hermitian metric g . Let $s = r$ and $W \subset \mathbb{H}^{r,r}$ be an isotropic subspace of quaternionic dimension r . Let $p_1, \dots, p_r, q_1, \dots, q_r$ be a basis of $\mathbb{H}^{r,r}$ such that $p_1, \dots, p_r$ is a basis of W and the only non-zero values of the pseudo-quaternionic-Hermitian form g on $\mathbb{H}^{r,r}$ are $g(p_i, q_i) = g(q_i, p_i) = 1$. The maximal subalgebra $\mathfrak{sp}(r, r)_W \subset \mathfrak{sp}(r, r)$ preserving W can be identified with the following matrix Lie algebra:

$$\mathfrak{sp}(r, r)_W = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} C & B \\ 0 & -\bar{C}^t \end{pmatrix} \middle| C, B \in \mathrm{Mat}(r, \mathbb{H}), \bar{B}^t = -B \right\},$$

where $\mathrm{Mat}(r, \mathbb{H})$ denotes the space of $r \times r$ quaternionic matrices. Note the following. Let $\mathbb{H}^m$ be an m -dimensional quaternionic vector space and $e_1, \dots, e_m$ a basis of $\mathbb{H}^m$. We identify an element $X \in \mathbb{H}^m$ with the column (X_t) of the left coordinates of X with respect to this basis, $X = \sum_{t=1}^m X_t e_t$. Let $f : \mathbb{H}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^m$ be an $\mathbb{H}$ -linear map. Define the matrix Mat_f of f by the relation $f e_l = \sum_{t=1}^m (\mathrm{Mat}_f)_{tl} e_t$. Now if $X \in \mathbb{H}^m$, then $fX = (X^t \mathrm{Mat}_f^t)^t$ and because of the non-commutativity of the quaternionic numbers this is not the same as $\mathrm{Mat}_f X$. Conversely, any $m \times m$ quaternionic matrix defines an $\mathbb{H}$ -linear map $f : \mathbb{H}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^m$. Denote by $\mathrm{Sp}(r, r)_W \subset \mathrm{Sp}(r, r)$ the maximal connected Lie subgroup preserving W , i.e. the connected Lie subgroup corresponding to the subalgebra $\mathfrak{sp}(r, r)_W \subset \mathfrak{sp}(r, r)$. Define the Lie subalgebra

$$\mathfrak{h}_0 = \mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} C & 0 \\ 0 & -\bar{C}^t \end{pmatrix} \middle| C \in \mathrm{Mat}(r, \mathbb{H}) \right\} \subset \mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(r, r)_W$$

and denote by H_0 the corresponding connected Lie subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}(1) \cdot \mathrm{Sp}(r, r)_W$.

We prove the following two statements.

Theorem 1 *Let (M, g) be a pseudo-quaternionic-Kählerian manifold of non-zero scalar curvature and of signature $(4r, 4s)$. If its restricted holonomy group G^0 is not irreducible, then $s = r$, G^0 preserves an isotropic quaternionic subspace $W \subset \mathbb{H}^{r,r}$ of quaternionic dimension r and either $G^0 = H_0$, or $G^0 = \text{Sp}(1) \cdot \text{Sp}(r, r)_W$.*

Proposition 1 *Any pseudo-quaternionic-Kählerian manifold with the restricted holonomy group H_0 is locally symmetric, i.e. its curvature tensor is parallel.*

Note that if the manifold (M, g) is not locally symmetric and its restricted holonomy group G^0 is irreducible, then $G^0 = G = \text{Sp}(1) \cdot \text{Sp}(r, s)$, e.g. [5].

Simply connected symmetric pseudo-quaternionic-Kählerian manifolds are classified in [1]. Each such space (M, g) may be represented as $M = F/K$, where F is the connected group generated by transvections and K is the stabilizer of a fixed point $o \in M$. The holonomy group of (M, g) coincides with the isotropy representation of K . These spaces are exhausted by

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \frac{\text{SU}(p+2, q)}{S(\text{U}(2) \times \text{U}(p, q))}, & \frac{\text{SL}(r+1, \mathbb{H})}{S(\text{GL}(1, \mathbb{H}) \times \text{GL}(r, \mathbb{H}))}, & \frac{\text{SO}_0(p+4, q)}{\text{SO}(4) \times \text{SO}_0(p, q)}, & \frac{\text{SO}^*(2l+4)}{\text{SO}^*(4) \times \text{SO}^*(2l)}, & \frac{\text{Sp}(p+1, q)}{\text{Sp}(1) \times \text{Sp}(p, q)}, \\ \frac{E_{6(-78)}}{\text{SU}(2)\text{SU}(6)}, & \frac{E_{6(2)}}{\text{SU}(2)\text{SU}(6)}, & \frac{E_{6(2)}}{\text{SU}(2)\text{SU}(2, 4)}, & \frac{E_{6(-14)}}{\text{SU}(2)\text{SU}(2, 4)}, & \frac{E_{6(6)}}{\text{Sp}(1)\text{SL}(3, \mathbb{H})}, \\ \frac{E_{6(-26)}}{\text{Sp}(1)\text{SL}(3, \mathbb{H})}, & \frac{E_{7(-133)}}{\text{SU}(2)\text{Spin}(12)}, & \frac{E_{7(-5)}}{\text{SU}(2)\text{Spin}(12)}, & \frac{E_{7(-5)}}{\text{SU}(2)\text{Spin}^0(4, 8)}, & \frac{E_{7(7)}}{\text{SU}(2)\text{SO}^*(12)}, \\ \frac{E_{7(-25)}}{\text{SU}(2)\text{SO}^*(12)}, & \frac{E_{8(-248)}}{\text{SU}(2)E_{7(133)}}, & \frac{E_{8(-24)}}{\text{SU}(2)E_{7(133)}}, & \frac{E_{8(-24)}}{\text{SU}(2)E_{7(-5)}}, & \frac{E_{8(8)}}{\text{SU}(2)E_{7(-5)}}, \\ \frac{F_{4(-52)}}{\text{Sp}(1)\text{Sp}(3)}, & \frac{F_{4(4)}}{\text{Sp}(1)\text{Sp}(3)}, & \frac{F_{4(4)}}{\text{Sp}(1)\text{Sp}(1, 2)}, & \frac{F_{4(-20)}}{\text{Sp}(2)\text{Sp}(1, 2)}, & \frac{G_{2(-14)}}{\text{SO}(4)}, \frac{G_{2(2)}}{\text{SO}(4)}. \end{array}$$

Note that the subgroup $H_0 \subset \text{Sp}(1) \cdot \text{Sp}(r, r)_W$ is the holonomy group of the symmetric space $\frac{\text{SL}(r+1, \mathbb{H})}{S(\text{GL}(1, \mathbb{H}) \times \text{GL}(r, \mathbb{H}))}$. This shows that *the holonomy groups of other symmetric spaces are irreducible.*

We get the following corollaries.

Corollary 1 *Let (M, g) be a pseudo-quaternionic-Kählerian manifold of non-zero scalar curvature and of signature $(4r, 4s)$. Then either its restricted holonomy group coincides with $\text{Sp}(1) \cdot \text{Sp}(r, s)$ or with $\text{Sp}(1) \cdot \text{Sp}(r, r)_W$, or (M, g) is locally symmetric.*

Corollary 2 *Let (M, g) be a complete pseudo-quaternionic-Kählerian manifold of non-zero scalar curvature and of signature $(4r, 4s)$. Then either its restricted holonomy group coincides with $\text{Sp}(1) \cdot \text{Sp}(r, s)$ or with $\text{Sp}(1) \cdot \text{Sp}(r, r)_W$, or (M, g) is the factor space of a symmetric space obtained in [1] by a freely acting discrete group Γ .*

Corollary 3 *Let (M, g) be a simply connected complete pseudo-quaternionic-Kählerian manifold of non-zero scalar curvature and of signature $(4r, 4s)$. If the holonomy group G of (M, g) is irreducible, then either $G = \text{Sp}(1) \cdot \text{Sp}(r, s)$, or (M, g) is a symmetric space obtained in [1] different from $\frac{\text{SL}(r+1, \mathbb{H})}{S(\text{GL}(1, \mathbb{H}) \times \text{GL}(r, \mathbb{H}))}$. If G is not irreducible, then $s = r$, and either $G = \text{Sp}(1) \cdot \text{Sp}(r, r)_W$, or (M, g) is isometric to the symmetric space $\frac{\text{SL}(r+1, \mathbb{H})}{S(\text{GL}(1, \mathbb{H}) \times \text{GL}(r, \mathbb{H}))}$.*

Theorem 1 gives only the list of possible connected holonomy groups. To complete the classification of all connected holonomy groups, one must show that $\text{Sp}(1) \cdot \text{Sp}(r, r)_W$ may appear as the holonomy group of a pseudo-quaternionic-Kählerian manifold.

2 Proof of Theorem 1

Let (M, g) be a pseudo-quaternionic-Kählerian manifold of non-zero scalar curvature and of signature $(4r, 4s)$. Let $m = r + s$. Obviously, it is enough to prove the theorem in terms of the holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(r, s)$ of (M, g) . In [1] it is proved that $\mathfrak{g}$ contains $\mathfrak{sp}(1)$ and it does not preserve any proper non-degenerate subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{4r, 4s}$.

For any subalgebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(r, s)$ denote by $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$ the space of algebraic curvature tensors of type $\mathfrak{g}$, i.e. the space of linear maps from $\wedge^2 \mathbb{R}^{4r, 4s}$ to $\mathfrak{g}$ satisfying the first Bianchi identity

$$R(X, Y)Z + R(Y, Z)X + R(Z, X)Y = 0 \quad (3)$$

for all $X, Y, Z \in \mathbb{R}^{4r, 4s}$. It is well-known that any $R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$ satisfies

$$\eta(R(X, Y)Z, U) = \eta(R(Z, U)X, Y) \quad (4)$$

for all $X, Y, Z, U \in \mathbb{R}^{4r, 4s}$. For example, if (M, g) is a pseudo-quaternionic-Kählerian manifold, $x \in M$, and $\mathfrak{g}$ is the holonomy algebra of (M, g) at the point x , then identifying $T_x M$ with $\mathbb{R}^{4r, 4s}$, we get that the value R_x of the curvature tensor R of (M, g) at the point x belongs to $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$. From the Ambrose-Singer Theorem [3] it follows that if $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(r, s)$ is the holonomy algebra of a pseudo-quaternionic-Kählerian manifold, then it is a Berger algebra, i.e. $\mathfrak{g}$ is spanned by the images of the algebraic curvature tensors $R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$. We will prove the theorem assuming that $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(r, s)$ is a Berger algebra.

Suppose that $\mathfrak{g}$ preserves a degenerate subspace $W \subset \mathbb{R}^{4r, 4s}$. Then $\mathfrak{g}$ preserves the isotropic subspace $W \cap W^\perp$, i.e. we may assume that W is isotropic. Since $\mathfrak{g}$ contains $\mathfrak{sp}(1)$, W is a quaternionic subspace of $\mathbb{H}^{r, s}$. Let $\dim_{\mathbb{H}} W = t$. Then $W \subset W^\perp$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{H}} W^\perp = m - t > t$. Let E be a quaternionic subspace of $W^\perp$ complementary to W , then the restriction of g to E is non-degenerate, let (r_0, s_0) be its signature. We have $W \subset E^\perp$. Let $W_1 \subset E^\perp$ be any isotropic subspace complementary to W . Clearly, $\dim_{\mathbb{H}} W_1 = \dim_{\mathbb{H}} W$. Let $p_1, \dots, p_t, e_1, \dots, e_{r_0+s_0}, q_1, \dots, q_t$ be a basis of $\mathbb{H}^{r, s}$ such that $p_1, \dots, p_t \in W$, $e_1, \dots, e_{r_0+s_0} \in E$, $q_1, \dots, q_t \in W_1$, and the only non-zero values of the pseudo-quaternionic-Hermitian form g are $g(p_i, q_i) = g(q_i, p_i) = 1$ (if $1 \leq i \leq t$), $g(e_i, e_i) = -1$ (if $1 \leq i \leq r_0$), $g(e_i, e_i) = 1$ (if $r_0 + 1 \leq i \leq r_0 + s_0$). Then the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sp}(r, s)$ can be identified with the following matrix Lie algebra:

$$\mathfrak{sp}(r, s) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} C & -(E_{r_0, s_0} \bar{X})^t & B \\ Y & A & X \\ D & -(E_{r_0, s_0} \bar{Y})^t & -\bar{C}^t \end{pmatrix} \middle| \begin{array}{l} C \in \text{Mat}(r, \mathbb{H}), \quad B, D \in S(r, \mathbb{H}), \\ A \in \mathfrak{sp}(r_0, s_0), \quad X, Y \in \text{Mat}(r_0 + s_0, t, \mathbb{H}) \end{array} \right\},$$

where $\text{Mat}(r, \mathbb{H})$ denotes the space of $r \times r$ quaternionic matrices,

$$S(r, \mathbb{H}) = \{B \in \text{Mat}(r, \mathbb{H}) \mid \bar{B}^t = -B\},$$

$\text{Mat}(r_0 + s_0, t, \mathbb{H})$ denotes the space of $r_0 + s_0 \times t$ quaternionic matrices, $E_{r_0, s_0} = \begin{pmatrix} -E_{r_0} & 0 \\ 0 & E_{s_0} \end{pmatrix}$, and E_l denotes the identity $l \times l$ matrix. For the maximal subalgebra $\mathfrak{sp}(r, s)_W \subset \mathfrak{sp}(r, s)$ preserving W we get

$$\mathfrak{sp}(r, s)_W = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} C & -(E_{r_0, s_0} \bar{X})^t & B \\ 0 & A & X \\ 0 & 0 & -\bar{C}^t \end{pmatrix} \middle| \begin{array}{l} C \in \text{Mat}(r, \mathbb{H}), \quad B \in S(r, \mathbb{H}), \\ A \in \mathfrak{sp}(r_0, s_0), \quad X \in \text{Mat}(r_0 + s_0, t, \mathbb{H}) \end{array} \right\}.$$

We claim that if $r_0 + s_0 \neq 0$, then $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(r, s)_W) = \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{sp}(r, s)_W)$. Let $R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(r, s)_W)$. From (1) it follows that $R = \nu R_0 + \mathcal{W}$, where $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$, R_0 is given by (2) with $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^{4r, 4s}$, and $\mathcal{W} \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{sp}(r, s))$. Let $p \in W$, $X \in E$, and $Y, Z \in \mathbb{R}^{4r, 4s}$. Using (4), we get

$$\eta(R(p, X)Y, Z) = \eta(R(Y, Z)p, X) = 0, \quad (5)$$

since $R(Y, Z)p \in W$ and $X \in E \subset W^\perp$. Consequently, $R(p, X) = 0$. Let $X, Y \in E$, then using the Bianchi identity, we get

$$R(X, Y)p = -R(Y, p)X - R(p, X)Y = 0.$$

This shows that $\nu \operatorname{pr}_W \circ R_0(X, Y)|_W = -\operatorname{pr}_W \circ \mathcal{W}(X, Y)|_W$. On the other hand, $\operatorname{pr}_W \circ \mathcal{W}(X, Y)|_W \in \mathfrak{gl}(W) = \mathfrak{gl}(r, \mathbb{H})$, whilst $\operatorname{pr}_W \circ R_0(X, Y)|_W = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 \eta(X, I_\alpha Y) I_\alpha|_W$. Consequently, $\nu \operatorname{pr}_W \circ R_0(X, Y)|_W = -\operatorname{pr}_W \circ \mathcal{W}(X, Y)|_W = 0$. This means that $\nu \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 \eta(X, I_\alpha Y) I_\alpha = 0$. Taking $X = e_1$, $Y = I_1 e_1$, we get $\nu = 0$. Thus, $R = \mathcal{W} \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{sp}(r, s)_W)$. This shows that if $r_0 + s_0 \neq 0$, then any Berger subalgebra of $\mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(r, s)_W$ is contained in $\mathfrak{sp}(r, s)_W$.

Let $r_0 = s_0 = 0$. Then $s = t = r$. From (1) it follows that

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(r, r)) = \mathbb{R}R_0 \oplus \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{sp}(r, r)), \quad (6)$$

where R_0 is given by (2) with $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^{4r, 4r}$. Consider the subalgebra

$$\mathfrak{h}_0 = \mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} C & 0 \\ 0 & -\bar{C}^t \end{pmatrix} \middle| C \in \operatorname{Mat}(r, \mathbb{H}) \right\} \subset \mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(r, r)_W. \quad (7)$$

This algebra appears as the holonomy algebra of the pseudo-quaternionic-Kählerian symmetric space $\operatorname{SL}(r+1, \mathbb{H})/\operatorname{S}(\operatorname{GL}(1, \mathbb{H}) \times \operatorname{GL}(r, \mathbb{H}))$ [1]. This shows that $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{h}_0)$ contains an element R_1 such that $\mathfrak{h}_0$ annihilates $R_1 \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{h}_0)$ and the image of R_1 spans $\mathfrak{h}_0$. Let $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{h}_0$ and $R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$. Let $X, Y \in W$ and $X_1 \in W_1$. The Bianchi identity (3) implies $R(X, Y)X_1 = 0$ and

$$R(X_1, X)Y = R(X_1, Y)X,$$

i.e. $R(X, Y) = 0$, and for each fixed $X_1 \in W_1$, $R(X_1, \cdot)|_W$ belongs to the first prolongation $(\mathfrak{g}|_W)^{(1)}$ of the subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}|_W \subset \mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(r, \mathbb{H}) \subset \mathfrak{gl}(4r, \mathbb{R})$. Similarly, if $X_1, Y_1 \in W_1$, then $R(X_1, Y_1) = 0$; if $X \in W$, then $R(X, \cdot)|_{W_1} \in (\mathfrak{g}|_{W_1})^{(1)}$. It holds $(\mathfrak{gl}(r, \mathbb{H}))^{(1)} = 0$ [5], hence $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{h}_0 \cap \mathfrak{sp}(r, r)) = 0$. From this and (6) it follows that $\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{h}_0) = \mathbb{R}R_1$. This and (6) show that

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(r, r)_W) = \mathbb{R}R_1 \oplus \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{sp}(r, r)_W). \quad (8)$$

Let $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(r, r)_W$ be a Berger subalgebra such that $\mathfrak{g} \not\subset \mathfrak{sp}(r, r)_W$. Then there exists $R \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{g})$ such that $R = \nu R_1 + \mathcal{W}$, $\nu \neq 0$ and $\mathcal{W} \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{sp}(r, r)_W)$. Let $p, X \in W$ and $Y, Z \in \mathbb{R}^{4r, 4r}$. From (5) applied to $\mathcal{W}$, we obtain $\mathcal{W}(p, X) = 0$. From this and the Bianchi identity it follows that $\mathcal{W}(X_1, \cdot)|_W \in (\mathfrak{gl}(W))^{(1)} = 0$ for any $X_1 \in W_1$. Hence, $R(X, X_1)|_W = \nu R_1(X, X_1)|_W$ for all $X \in W$ and $X_1 \in W_1$. This shows that $\mathfrak{g}|_W = \mathfrak{h}_0|_W = \mathfrak{sp}(1)|_W \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(W)$. Suppose that $\mathfrak{g} \neq \mathfrak{h}_0$. Then for some $B \in \operatorname{S}(r, \mathbb{H})$ the element $\xi = \begin{pmatrix} E_r & B \\ 0 & -E_r \end{pmatrix}$ belongs to $\mathfrak{g}$. If $B \neq 0$, then choosing the basis $p_1, \dots, p_r, q'_1, \dots, q'_r$, where $q'_i = q_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^r B_{ji} p_j$, we get that $\xi = \begin{pmatrix} E_r & 0 \\ 0 & -E_r \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Let $\xi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} C & B \\ 0 & -\bar{C}^t \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{g}$, where $C \in \text{Mat}(r, \mathbb{H})$ and $B \in S(r, \mathbb{H})$. Then, $[\xi, \xi_1] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{g}$. This shows that $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h}_0 \ltimes L$, where $L \subset \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid B \in S(r, \mathbb{H}) \right\}$. The Lie brackets of elements from $\mathfrak{h}_0$ and L are given by the representation of $\mathfrak{gl}(r, \mathbb{H})$ on $S(r, \mathbb{H})$. Since this representation is irreducible [5], $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(r, r)_W$. It is not hard to see that this algebra is a Berger algebra, hence it is a candidate to be a holonomy algebra. $\square$

3 Proof of Proposition 1

Let (M, g) be pseudo-quaternionic-Kählerian manifold with the holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{h}_0$. Fix a point $x \in M$. Then $T_x M$ is identified with $\mathbb{R}^{4r, 4r}$. For the covariant derivative of the curvature tensor at the point x we have $\nabla_X R_x \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{h}_0)$ for any $X \in \mathbb{R}^{4r, 4r}$. Let $X, Y \in W$ and $X_1 \in W_1$. From the above, we get $\nabla_{X_1} R_x(X, Y) = 0$. This and the second Bianchi identity imply

$$\nabla_X R_x(X_1, Y) = \nabla_Y R_x(X_1, X),$$

i.e. $\nabla_{\cdot|_W} R_x(X_1, \cdot|_W)|_W$ belongs to the second prolongation of the subalgebra $\mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(r, \mathbb{H}) \subset \mathfrak{gl}(4r, \mathbb{R})$, which is trivial, since the second prolongation of its complexification $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(2r, \mathbb{C}) \subset \mathfrak{gl}(4r, \mathbb{C})$ is trivial [11]. We get that $\nabla_X R_x = 0$. By the same arguments, $\nabla_{X_1} R_x = 0$. Thus, $\nabla R_x = 0$ for any $x \in M$, i.e. $\nabla R = 0$ and (M, g) is locally symmetric. $\square$

4 Proof of corollaries

Corollary 1 follows from the above results and from the fact that the only irreducible holonomy group of not locally symmetric pseudo-quaternionic-Kählerian manifolds is $\text{Sp}(1) \cdot \text{Sp}(r, s)$ [5]. Corollary 3 follows from Corollary 1 and from the results of [1]. To prove Corollary 2 consider the universal covering $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g})$ of (M, g) . Then $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g})$ is simply connected and complete, hence it is contained in the list of symmetric spaces from [1] given in Introduction. It is known that $M = \tilde{M}/\Gamma$ for some freely acting discrete group Γ of isometries of $\tilde{M}$, e.g. [4]. $\square$

Acknowledgements I am grateful to D. V. Alekseevsky and Jan Slovák for useful discussions, support and help. The author has been supported by the grant GACR 201/09/H012.

References

1. D. V. Alekseevsky, V. Cortés, *Classification of pseudo-Riemannian symmetric spaces of quaternionic Kähler type*. Lie groups and invariant theory, 33–62, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, 213, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005.
2. M. Berger, *Sur les groupes d'holonomie des variétés à connexion affine et des variétés riemanniennes*. Bull. Soc. Math. France 83 (1955), 279–330.
3. A. L. Besse, *Einstein manifolds*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1987.
4. A. Borel, *Semisimple groups and Riemannian symmetric spaces*. Texts and Readings in Mathematics, 16. Hindustan Book Agency, New Delhi, 1998. x+136 pp.

-
5. R. L. Bryant, *Classical, exceptional, and exotic holonomies: a status report*. Actes de la Table Ronde de Géométrie Différentielle (Luminy, 1992), 93–165, Semin. Congr., 1, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1996.
 6. A. S. Galaev, T. Leistner, *Holonomy groups of Lorentzian manifolds: classification, examples, and applications*. Recent developments in pseudo-Riemannian geometry, 53–96, ESI Lect. Math. Phys., Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2008.
 7. A. S. Galaev, T. Leistner, *Recent developments in pseudo-Riemannian holonomy theory*. Cortés, Vicente (ed.), Handbook of pseudo-Riemannian geometry and supersymmetry. Zurich: European Mathematical Society. IRMA Lectures in Mathematics and Theoretical Physics 16, 581–627 (2010).
 8. D. Joyce, *Riemannian holonomy groups and calibrated geometry*. Oxford University Press, 2007.
 9. *Quaternionic structures in mathematics and physics*. Proceedings of the 2nd Meeting held in Rome, September 6–10, 1999. Ed. S. Marchiafava, P. Piccinni and M. Pontecorvo. World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2001. xvi+469 pp.
 10. S. M. Salamon, *Differential geometry of quaternionic manifolds*. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 19 (1986), no. 1, 31–55.
 11. L. J. Schwachhöfer, *Connections with irreducible holonomy representations*, Adv. Math. 160 (2001), no. 1, 1–80.
 12. A. Swann, *Hyper-Kähler and quaternionic Kähler geometry*. Math. Ann. 289 (1991), no. 3, 421–450.